

# METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR THE DETECTION OF MULTIPLE SMALL DEFECTS IN A FLAW SCAN DETECTION SYSTEM

## CROSS REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

5 Priority is claimed from U.S. Provisional Patent Application Serial No. 60/203,085, filed May 9, 2000 entitled "DETECTION OF MULTIPLE SMALL DEFECTS IN A FLAW DETECTION SYSTEM" and further identified as attorney docket number 3123-355-PROV, the disclosure of which is incorporated herein by reference in its entirety.

## 10 FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to the detection of flaws in storage media that might prevent the reliable storage of data. In particular, the present invention relates to the detection of flaws that may prevent the reliable storage of data by assessing the density of detected defects in the storage media.

## 15 BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Computer disk drives store information on magnetic disks. Typically, the information is stored on each disk in concentric tracks that are divided into servo sectors and data sectors. Information is written to or read from a disk by a transducer head, mounted on an actuator arm, capable of moving the transducer head radially over the disk. Accordingly, the movement of the actuator arm allows the transducer head to access different tracks. A disk is rotated by a spindle motor at a high speed, allowing the transducer head to access different sectors within each track on the disk. The transducer head may include integrated read and write heads.

20

In a typical computer disk drive, error correction code (ECC) is commonly used to assist in the reliable retrieval of stored data. In general, error correction code allows data that may have otherwise been lost to be reconstructed. However, serious defects in the media used to store the data can overwhelm the ability of the error correction code to rebuild lost data upon retrieval. Furthermore, error correction code requires that data be stored on the disk that is in addition to the user data. Therefore, error correction code occupies storage space that could otherwise be used to store user data. Furthermore, as the ability of an error correction code scheme to retrieve lost data is increased, the storage space required to store the additional information required by the error correction code generally increases. For all these reasons, the ability of error correction code to rebuild lost data is typically limited.

In order to help prevent delivery of computer disk drives having defects in storage media to end users, disk drives are typically tested for such defects. According to a typical testing procedure, data is written to the storage media in a test pattern. The test pattern is then read from the storage media and the results of the read operation are compared to the expected results. For example, a signal produced in the channel of a hard disk drive as a result of reading the test pattern may be periodically sampled, and the amplitudes of the samples may be compared to the expected amplitudes. A signal indicating the detection of a defect may be generated if a sampled value is less than the corresponding expected value. For further information regarding a method and apparatus used to detect flaws in storage media, see U.S. Patent Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ filed \_\_\_\_\_, entitled "METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR FLAW

DETECTION IN SYNCHRONOUS SAMPLING (PRML) READ CHANNELS USING  
POST PROCESSED DIGITAL FILTERS" to Curtis Egan, and assigned to the assignee  
of the present invention, the entire disclosure of which is hereby incorporated by  
reference.

5           In response to receiving an indication that an area of the storage medium contains  
a defect, the controller of the hard disk drive may spare, or mark as unsuitable for storing  
data, the affected area. For example, the data sector containing the defect, or all of the  
data sectors in the area between the hard sectors in which the defect is located, may be  
spared. However, the sparing of portions of the storage media diminishes the storage  
10       capacity of the hard disk drive. Therefore, it is desirable to avoid unnecessarily sparing  
portions of the storage media. In addition, it often is unnecessary to spare a portion of the  
storage media in response to the detection of an isolated defect. This is because the error  
correction code is often sufficient to allow for the reliable storage and retrieval of data in  
areas of the storage media that contain relatively few defects. Therefore, such areas need  
15       not be spared in order to provide a disk drive capable of reliably storing and retrieving  
data.

          In addition, it is desirable to locate defects on storage media within a reasonably  
small area of the media, so that the spared areas may be as small as possible. However,  
conventional systems that allow information regarding the location of defects to be stored  
20       have typically required that the specific address at which the defect was detected be  
stored, at least temporarily. Such methods therefore require that additional memory be  
provided that is capable of storing such information for later analysis. In addition, the  
analysis of defect location data to determine its location on the disk in proximity to other

defects requires processing power and time, as well as implementing software or firmware, and cannot be performed in substantially real time.

For the above stated reasons, it would be desirable to provide an improved method and an apparatus for determining whether flaws detected in storage media require sparing.

5 In addition, it would be advantageous to provide such a method and apparatus that was capable of determining the density of defects per unit area of the storage media, without requiring specific defect location information to be stored. Furthermore, it would be advantageous to provide a method and an apparatus for assessing whether an area of storage media should be spared that could be implemented as part of the firmware of a  
10 computer disk drive, or of software controlling the operation of a computer disk drive. Furthermore, it would be advantageous to provide such a method and apparatus that are reliable in operation and that are relatively inexpensive to implement.

#### SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

15 In accordance with the present invention, a method and an apparatus for detecting multiple small defects in a flaw scan detection system are provided. The present invention generally allows the density of flaws detected in a storage medium to be determined. If the density of the detected defects exceeds a threshold amount, the area containing those defects may be spared. Accordingly, the present invention allows a determination as to  
20 whether areas of a storage medium should be spared based on a criterium that is indicative of the ability of the disk drive to reliably store and retrieve information in that area of the storage medium.

According to one embodiment of the present invention, a method is provided for determining the density of defects occurring on a storage medium. In general, a window

encompassing a selected portion of the storage medium, such as a portion of track sufficient to store a selected number of bytes of information, is defined. According to one embodiment, the size of the window is not static, but varies. The length of track within the window is then scanned for flaws. The window may be defined in terms of a number of bytes of storage space. A signal is generated by a flaw detection circuit or by software or firmware operating in connection with the disk drive, for each defective unit of storage space within the window. For example, a signal may be generated for each defective byte of storage space within the window. If a selected number of defects are present in the window at any one time (*i.e.*, the density exceeds a selected amount), a flag is generated. In response to the flag, the controller may spare the portion of the track containing the overly dense concentration of defects.

According to another embodiment of the present invention, a method is provided for assessing the density of defects in a storage medium in which a counter is incremented by a predetermined amount for each defect encountered in a byte of storage space.

Whether or not a byte of storage space contains a defect, the counter is decremented a selected amount as each byte of storage space is scanned. If the value held by the counter exceeds a threshold amount, a signal is generated and that signal is provided to the controller. The controller may then spare the portion of track in which the defects that caused the signal to be generated are located.

According to still another embodiment of the present invention, an apparatus is provided for determining the density of defects in a storage medium. According to the apparatus, a counter is incremented by a predetermined amount as defects in a track on the storage medium are detected. The detection of a defect may pertain to a length of track

required to store one byte of information. A byte clock provides a signal corresponding to the time required for the transducer head to pass over a length of track equal to the length required to store one byte of information. For each pulse of the byte clock, the value held by the counter is decremented by a selected amount. A comparator is provided at a first input with the value held by the counter, and at a second input with a threshold value held in a memory. If the counter value is greater than or equal to the threshold value, a flag is generated.

According to still another embodiment of the present invention, the rate at which the value held by the counter is decremented may be varied. For example, in response to an isolated defect, the value held by the counter may be decremented at a relatively slow rate. However, in response to a number of defects in close proximity to one another, the rate at which the value held by the counter is decremented may be increased. Varying the rate of decay of the value held by the counter generally allows the area of the storage medium (*i.e.* the window) under consideration to be maintained within a specified maximum size. Doing so helps to avoid unnecessarily sparing large areas of the storage medium by maintaining an appropriately sized window.

According to yet another embodiment of the present invention, the maximum count value held by the counter may be limited to a predetermined amount. According to a further embodiment of the present invention, the amount by which the counter is incremented may be varied.

Additional advantages of the present invention will become readily apparent from the following discussion, particularly when taken together with the accompanying drawings.

## BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

**Fig. 1** is a diagrammatic representation of a top view of a conventional computer disk drive, with the cover removed;

**Fig. 2** is a diagrammatic representation of a magnetic storage disk;

5      **Fig. 3** is a flow chart illustrating operational aspects of an embodiment of the present invention;

**Fig. 4A** depicts a defect signal;

**Fig. 4B** depicts a counter value of an embodiment of the present invention in response to the defect signal of **Fig. 4A**;

10      **Fig. 4C** depicts a defect flag signal produced by one embodiment of the present invention in response to the counter value of **Fig. 4B**;

**Fig. 5** is a flow chart illustrating operational aspects of another embodiment of the present invention;

**Fig. 6A** depicts a defect signal;

15      **Fig. 6B** depicts a counter value of another embodiment of the present invention in response to the defect signal of **Fig. 6A**;

**Fig. 6C** depicts a defect flag signal produced by another embodiment of the present invention in response to the counter value of **Fig 6B**;

20      **Fig. 7** is a flow chart illustrating operational aspects of yet another embodiment of the present invention;

**Fig. 8** is a diagrammatic representation of an embodiment of the present invention; and

**Fig. 9** is a flow chart illustrating operational aspects of the embodiment of the present invention illustrated in **Fig. 8**.

#### DETAILED DESCRIPTION

**Fig. 1** illustrates a typical computer disk drive **100**. The disk drive **100** generally includes a base **104** and magnetic disks **108** (only one of which is shown in **Fig. 1**). The magnetic disks **108** are interconnected to the base **104** by a spindle motor (not shown) mounted within or beneath the hub **112**, such that the disks **108** can be rotated relative to the base **104**. Actuator arm assemblies **116** (only one of which is shown in **Fig. 1**) are interconnected to the base **104** by a bearing **120**. The actuator arm assemblies **116** each include a transducer head **124** at a first end, to address each of the surfaces of the magnetic disks **108**. A voice coil motor **128** pivots the actuator arm assemblies **116** about the bearing **120** to radially position the transducer heads **124** with respect to the magnetic disks **108**. The voice coil motor **128** is operated by a controller **132** that is in turn operatively connected to a host computer (not shown). By changing the radial position of the transducer heads **124** with respect to the magnetic disks **108**, the transducer heads **124** can access different data tracks or cylinders **136** on the magnetic disks **108**. The disk drive **100** also generally includes a channel **140** for receiving and decoding data read from the disks **108** by the transducer heads **124**.

With reference now to **Fig. 2**, a typical arrangement of data tracks **136** on a magnetic disk **108** is illustrated. Usually, the data tracks **136** are divided into data fields **204a-204h** with a servo sector **208a-208h** between one or more of the data fields **204**. Generally, the data fields **204a-204h** are used for storing data as a series of magnetic



transitions, while the servo sectors **208a-208h** are used for storing information used to provide the transducer head **124** with positioning information, also as a series of magnetic transitions.

Although the magnetic disk **108** shown in **Figs. 1** and **2** is illustrated as having a relatively small number of tracks and sectors, it can be appreciated that a typical computer disk drive contains a very large number of such tracks and sectors. For example, computer disk drives having over 30,000 tracks per inch and 120 servo sectors in a track are presently available. In addition, alternate configurations of magnetic disks **108** are possible. For example, in a computer disk drive having several magnetic disks **108**, a surface of one of the disks **108** may be dedicated to servo information, while the surfaces of the remaining disk **108** may be used exclusively to store data.

In order to reliably store and retrieve user data, the disk **108** must be relatively defect-free. In particular, defects that might cause data loss errors are preferably detected after assembly of the disk drive **100**, but before the drive **100** is delivered to an end user. Areas of a disk **108** containing significant defects, for example, defects that might overwhelm any provided error correction features of the disk drive **100**, are preferably identified and spared, so that no user data is stored to those sections. An area of a disk **108**, or in particular a length of track **136**, containing a high density of defects is more likely to experience errors in storing and retrieving data that overwhelm the error correction capabilities of the disk drive **100**, than is a length of track **136** having defects that are distributed such that their density is low. As used herein, defect density shall be understood to refer to the number of detected defects within a predetermined length of

track **136**.

With reference now to **Fig. 3**, operational aspects of an embodiment of the present invention are illustrated. Initially, the count value  $i$  is set to 0 (step **300**). The value  $i$  represents a number of defects per unit area of the disk **108**, or the defect density. Next, a determination is made as to whether a defect in a byte of storage space in a track **136** has been detected (step **304**). If a defect has been detected, the count value  $i$  is incremented by a value  $n$  ( $i = i + n$ ) (step **308**). Next, a determination is made as to whether the count value  $i$  is unacceptable. For example, a determination is made as to whether  $i$  is greater than a threshold value ( $Th$ ) (step **312**). If  $i$  is greater than the threshold (*i.e.* is unacceptable), a selected portion of the storage space on the track **136** is spared (step **316**). That is, the byte under consideration is marked as bad, and therefore the disk drive **100** will not attempt to store information in that portion of the track **136**. Alternatively, a greater portion of the track **136** may be spared. For example, the bytes on either side of the byte found to contain a defect requiring sparing may also be spared, so that a total of three bytes are removed as storage areas on the disk **108**. This sparing of additional bytes may be desirable to ensure that any data written to the disk **108** may be reliably retrieved. For instance, the disk drive **100** may have error correction features capable of reliably storing data even if defects in a track **136** are detected up to a certain density of defects. However, if the count value  $i$  indicates a high density of defects, the error correction features may be unable to fully compensate. Therefore, to allow for a margin of safety, additional bytes may be spared. After the byte or bytes have been spared, the system returns to step **304** to determine whether the next byte of storage space under analysis

contains a defect.

If  $i$  is not greater than the threshold value (step 312), the system returns to step 304, without sparing any portions of the disk 108, to determine whether a defect exists in the next byte of storage space under examination.

5 If the byte of storage space under examination is not found to contain a defect (step 304), the system determines whether the count value  $i$  is less than or equal to 0 (step 320). If  $i$  is not less than or equal to 0, the count value  $i$  is decremented by a value  $s$  ( $i = i - s$ ) (step 324). The value  $s$  represents a rate of decay. After the count value  $i$  has been decremented, the system returns to step 304 to make a determination as to whether the  
10 next byte of storage space under examination contains a defect. If  $i$  is less than or equal to 0,  $i$  is set equal to zero (step 328) and the system returns to step 304.

From the foregoing description, it is apparent that the count value  $i$  is incremented for each byte containing a defect, and decremented for each byte having no defects. In addition, it will be appreciated that the count value cannot be less than 0. Furthermore,  
15 defects detected in bytes of storage space in close proximity to one another (*i.e.* a high defect density) make it more likely that the count value  $i$  will exceed the threshold value  $Th$ .

In general, the values  $n$ ,  $s$  and  $Th$  are selected so that portions of a track 136 are spared if the defect density in a selected portion or window of the track 136 exceeds a  
20 predetermined amount. The predetermined amount is based upon the ability of the error correction facilities to tolerate defects. In the above example,  $n$  represents the amount by which the count value is incremented when a defect is detected,  $s$  is the amount by which the count value may be decremented for each unit of storage space in which a defect is not

detected, and  $Th$  is the amount that must be exceeded if a portion of the track 136 is to be spared. It can be appreciated that the values for  $n$ ,  $s$  and  $Th$  must balance so that portions of a track 136 are spared only if the density of defects exceeds the predetermined amount. Also, it usually is desirable to provide a margin of safety between the detected defect density and the defect density at which data can be reliably stored. According to one embodiment of the present invention,  $Th = (D-1) \cdot n$ , where  $D$  is a selected number of defects, and  $n = s \cdot w$  where  $w$  is the number of bytes in the window. In one application,  $D$  is equal to 4,  $n$  is equal to 5,  $s$  is equal to 1, and  $Th$  is equal to 15.

With reference now to **Figs. 4A, 4B and 4C**, the operation of the system described in connection with **Fig. 3** is described in the context of an example. For this example, it will be assumed that  $n$  is equal to 1,  $s$  is equal to  $\frac{1}{4}$ , and  $Th$  is equal to 2 where  $n$  is the amount by which the count value  $i$  is incremented when a defect is detected,  $s$  is the rate of decay per byte of storage space in a track 136 when a defect is not detected, and  $Th$  is the threshold that must be exceeded before portions of the track 136 are spared. **Fig. 4A** depicts a defect signal, such as may be provided by a flaw detection circuit or routine. **Fig. 4B** depicts a count value held in response to detected defects (*i.e.* in response to the defect signal). **Fig. 4C** depicts a defect flag signal generated when  $i$  is greater than  $Th$ .

As shown in **Fig. 4A**, initially no defect is found in byte 1. Because no defect is detected with respect to byte 1, the count value  $i$  (**Fig. 4B**), which was initialized at 0, remains at 0. In byte 2, a defect is detected. Therefore, the count value  $i$  is equal to 1. At byte 3, another defect is detected. Accordingly, count value  $i$  will now be equal to 2. However, a flag is not generated, because according to the present example, the threshold is 2, and the count value  $i$  must be greater than the threshold before a defect flag is

generated. No defect is detected in byte 4. The rate of decay  $s$  in the present example is  $\frac{1}{4}$ . Therefore, the count value  $i$  is equal to  $2 - \frac{1}{4} = 1\frac{3}{4}$ . A defect is detected in byte 5. Accordingly,  $i$  will now be equal to  $2\frac{3}{4}$ . This is greater than the threshold 2, therefore a flag is generated. With reference now to **Fig. 4C**, the assertion of the defect flag signal can be seen beginning at byte 5. In response to the defect flag, the system may spare byte 5.

At byte 6, no defect is detected, and  $i$  therefore decays by  $\frac{1}{4}$  so that it is now equal to  $2\frac{1}{2}$ . Because the count value  $i$  remains greater than the threshold value, a defect flag is again generated. At byte 7, again no defect is detected, and the count value  $i$  decays to  $2\frac{1}{4}$ . The count value  $i$  remains greater than the threshold 2, therefore a defect flag is again generated.

At byte 8, again no defect is detected, and count value  $i$  decays to a value of 2. Because 2 is not greater than the threshold, the defect flag is no longer generated.

At byte 9, a defect is detected, so that the count value  $i$  is now equal to 3. Because 3 is greater than the threshold value, a defect flag is generated. Another defect is detected at byte 10, causing the count value  $i$  to equal 4, and the defect flag continues to be generated.

Bytes 11-23 are not found to contain defects. Therefore, the count value  $i$  decays by  $\frac{1}{4}$  for each of the bytes 11-23. A defect flag indicating a high defect density continues to be generated until byte 18, where the count value  $i$  becomes equal to 2.

From the foregoing example, it can be appreciated that the system becomes more conservative, that is it becomes more likely to generate a defect flag, after a series of defects in close proximity. This is because the value  $n$  is greater than  $s$ . This increased

conservatism may be desirable, because it results in a detection system that is more likely to spare areas of a track 136 having a high defect density. A high defect density is more likely to overwhelm the error correction capabilities of the disk drive 100 than is a number of defects distributed such that the defect density is low. Therefore, the present invention

5 allows areas of a disk 108 to be spared according to the likelihood that loss of data will occur.

Also from the foregoing example, it is apparent that the embodiment described in connection with Figs. 3, 4A, 4B and 4C has a detection window that becomes effectively larger after a series of defects in close proximity. In other applications, it may be desirable to limit the extent to which the detection window grows after a series of defects. This

10 may be accomplished by varying the rate of decay  $s$ , by varying the value  $n$  allocated to each defect, by limiting the maximum value of  $i$ , by varying the threshold value  $Th$ , or by various combinations of such measures.

With reference now to Fig. 5, operational aspects of another embodiment of the present invention are illustrated. In particular, the embodiment of the invention illustrated in Fig. 5 includes a maximum count value ( $i_{max}$ ) and a variable rate of decay ( $s_n$ ). Initially,

15 the count value  $i$  is set to zero (step 500). Next, a determination is made as to whether a defect in a byte of storage space in a track 136 has been detected (step 504). If a defect has been detected, the count value  $i$  is incremented by a value  $n$  ( $i = i + n$ ) (step 508).

20 Next, a determination is made as to whether the count value  $i$  is greater than a maximum count value  $i_{max}$  (step 512). If  $i$  is greater than  $i_{max}$ , the value of  $i$  is set equal to  $i_{max}$  (step 516). If  $i$  is not greater than  $i_{max}$ , a determination is made as to whether the value of  $i$  is unacceptable. For example, a determination is made as to whether  $i$  is greater than a

threshold value  $Th$  (step **520**). If  $i$  is greater than the threshold value  $Th$ , a selected portion of the storage space on the track **136** is spared (step **524**). That is, the byte with respect to which the defect causing the count value  $i$  to exceed the threshold or to continue to exceed the threshold is spared, or the byte under consideration and /or one or more bytes adjacent to the byte under consideration are spared. Similarly, if the count value  $i$  is greater than  $i_{max}$ , after setting  $i$  equal to  $i_{max}$  (step **516**) the system proceeds to spare a portion of the storage space on the track **136** at step **524**. After the selected portions of the track **136** have been spared (step **524**) the system returns to step **504** to determine whether the next byte of storage space under consideration contains a defect.

If the byte of storage space under consideration is not found to contain a defect (step **504**), the system determines whether the count value  $i$  is less than or equal to zero (step **528**). If  $i$  is less than or equal to zero,  $i$  is set equal to zero (step **532**), and the system returns to step **504** to determine whether the next byte of storage space under consideration contains a defect.

If  $i$  is not less than or equal to zero (step **528**) a determination is made as to whether at least three of the last 4 bytes contain defects (step **536**). If the condition of step **536** is true, the rate of decay ( $s_n$ ) is set equal to  $s_3$  ( $s_n = s_3$ ) (step **540**). If the condition of step **536** is false, the system proceeds to step **544** in which a determination is made as to whether two of the last 4 bytes contain defects (step **544**). If the condition of step **544** is true,  $s_n$  is set equal to  $s_2$  ( $s_n = s_2$ ) (step **548**). If the condition of step **544** is false, the system determines whether one of the last 4 bytes contains a defect (step **552**). If the condition of step **552** is true,  $s_n$  is set equal to  $s_1$  ( $s_n = s_1$ ) (step **556**). If the condition

of step 552 is false, the system sets  $s_n$  equal to  $s_0$  ( $s_n = s_0$ ) (step 560).

After the value of  $s_n$  has been selected, the count value  $i$  is decremented by  $s_n$  (that is,  $i = i - s_n$ ) (step 564). After the count value  $i$  has been decremented, the system returns to step 504 to make a determination as to whether the next byte of storage space under consideration contains a defect.

From the foregoing description, it is apparent that the count value  $i$  cannot exceed a selected maximum value  $i_{\max}$ . Additionally, it can be appreciated that the rate of decay ( $s_n$ ) is selected based upon the number of defects encountered within a selected number of preceding bytes. Accordingly, the rate of decay may be adjusted depending upon the frequency of recent defects. According to one embodiment of the present invention,  $s_n$  is assigned a higher value, corresponding to a greater rate of decay, after a relatively large number of defects have been encountered, and a smaller value, corresponding to a smaller rate of decay, if relatively few defects recently have been encountered. That is, with reference again to **Fig. 5**,  $s_3$  would have a greater value than  $s_2$ , which would have a greater value than  $s_1$ , which in turn would have a greater value than  $s_0$ .

In general, the selection of a maximum value for  $i$  allows the system to limit the maximum size of the window within which defect density is assessed. Providing a rate of decay that increases in response to a higher detected density of defects, also has the effect of limiting the size of the window in which defects are considered.

With reference now to **Figs. 6A, 6B and 6C**, the operation of the system described in connection with **Fig. 5** is described in the context of an example. For this example, it will be assumed that  $n$  is equal to 1,  $s_0$  is equal to 0.25,  $s_1$  is equal to 0.33,  $s_2$  is equal to 0.5,  $s_3$  is equal to 1,  $Th$  is equal to 2, and  $i_{\max}$  is equal to 2.5. **Fig. 6A** depicts a



defect signal, such as may be provided by a flaw detection circuit or routine. It will be noted that **Fig. 6A** depicts the same defect signal as the defect signal depicted in **Fig. 4A** above. **Fig. 6B** depicts the count value  $i$  held in response to detected defects. **Fig. 6C** depicts a defect flag signal generated when the count value  $i$  exceeds the threshold.

Initially, no defect is found in byte 1 (**Fig. 6A**). Accordingly, the count value  $i$ , which was initialized at zero, remains at zero (**Fig. 6B**). A defect is detected at byte 2. Therefore, the count value  $i$  is incremented by one. At byte 3, another defect is detected. Therefore, the count value  $i$  is now equal to 2. A flag is not generated with respect to byte 2, because count value  $i$  is not greater than the threshold to 2. No defect is detected in byte 4. Because two of the previous 4 bytes contain defects, the rate of decay  $s_n$  is set equal to  $s_2$ . According to the present example,  $s_2$  is equal to 0.5. Therefore, the count value  $i$  is decremented by 0.5, and is now equal to 1.5.

A defect is detected in byte 5, causing the count value  $i$  to be equal to 2.5. This is greater than the threshold value of 2, therefore a defect flag is generated (**Fig. 6C**). In response to the defect flag, the system may spare byte 5, bytes 4 and 5, or any selected set of bytes in the vicinity of and including byte 5.

At byte 6, no defect is detected. Because at least 3 of last 4 bytes contained defects, at the rate of decay  $s_n$  is set equal to  $s_3$ , or 1 in the present example. Accordingly, the count value  $i$  is decremented by 1 so that it now equals 1.5. Because 1.5 is less than the threshold 2, the defect flag is discontinued. It will be noted that, with respect to the embodiment of the invention described with respect to **Fig. 3** and **Fig. 4**, a defect flag was still generated with respect to bytes 6 and 7. However, because of the variable rate of decay provided by the embodiment illustrated in connection with **Fig. 5B**, and because the

rates of decay that may be selected are all greater than the example of **Fig. 4**, no defect flag is generated in connection with bytes 6 and 7.

With respect to byte 7, again no defect is detected. Because two of the last 4 bytes contain defects,  $s_n$  is set equal to  $s_2$ , or 0.5 in the present example, and  $i$  is decremented to become equal to 1.0. At byte 8, no defect is detected. Because one of the last 3 bytes contained a defect,  $s_n$  is set equal to  $s_1$ , or 0.33 in the present example, and  $i$  becomes equal to 0.67.

At byte 9, a defect is detected. Therefore,  $i$  is incremented by 1 to become equal to 1.67. At byte 10, another defect is detected causing  $i$  to be equal to 2.67. However, 2.67 is greater than  $i_{max}$ , which is 2.5 in the present example. Therefore,  $i$  is set equal to 2.5. At byte 11, no defect is detected. Because two of the last 4 bytes contain defects,  $s_n$  is set equal to 0.5, the count value is decremented to 2.0, and the defect flag is no longer asserted. At byte 12, again no defect is detected, and again count value  $i$  is decremented by 0.5, because two of the previous 4 bytes were found to contain defects. At byte 13, no defect is detected, and  $i$  is decremented by 0.33, because one of the last 4 bytes contained a defect, thereby leaving  $i$  equal to 1.17. At byte 14, again no defect is detected. Because none of the last 4 bytes contained a defect, the rate of decay is set to 0.25. The count value  $i$  continues to decay by 0.25 until byte 18, because no more defects are detected in the present example. At byte 18, the count value  $i$ , which is at this point equal to 0.17, is decremented by .25. The resulting value, minus 0.8, is less than zero. Therefore,  $i$  is set equal to zero.

A comparison of **Figs. 4B** and **4C** to **Figs 6B** and **6C** illustrates the effects of constraining the count value  $i$  to a predetermined maximum ( $i_{max}$ ) and of providing a

variable decay. In particular, the selection of a maximum count value prevents the system from accumulating a very large value  $i$ , for instance in response to a large number of defects concentrated together. The accumulation of a very large value for  $i$  may result in a defect flag being generated even in connection with bytes that are not in close proximity to bytes containing a defect. That is, providing a maximum count value prevents the window in which defects are considered from becoming overly large. Accordingly, assigning a maximum count value  $i$  prevents portions of a track from being spared unnecessarily.

The provision of a variable decay rate  $s_n$  allows this system to be responsive to detected defects. In particular, providing a relatively high rate of decay after a series of defects are detected allows the size of the window in which defects are considered to be more limited. That is, it allows the system to become less sensitive to defects after a certain number have been encountered, or after a certain density of defects has been observed.

The response of the system may also be adjusted by providing for a variable value for  $n$ . For example,  $n$  may be assigned a greater value when none or a few isolated defects are detected, and a lesser value after a series of defects. The response of the system may also be adjusted by providing a variable value for  $T_h$ . For example,  $T_h$  may be assigned a lesser value when none or a few isolated defects are detected, and a greater value after a series of defects.

With reference now to **Fig. 7**, the operation of yet another embodiment of the present invention is illustrated. Initially, at step **700**, a window length  $n$  is selected. Next, defect information regarding the next  $n$  bytes is received (step **704**). For instance, a shift register may be used to accumulate defect information regarding the previous  $n$  bytes.

At step **708**, the number of defective bytes is counted. The number of defective bytes is then compared to a selected threshold. For example, the number of defective bytes is compared to the threshold to determine whether the threshold value has been exceeded (step **712**). If the threshold value has been exceeded, a defect flag is generated (step **716**). The system then proceeds to step **720**, in which defect information regarding the first byte of the n bytes under consideration is discarded. At step **724**, the information held by the shift register is shifted by one position. Then, defect information regarding a next byte is received (step **728**). For example, the information regarding the next byte is placed in the last position of the shift register, which is now vacant following the steps of discarding the information regarding the first byte (step **720**) and of shifting the information one position (step **724**). If the number of defects is not greater than the threshold value, the system continues through steps **720**, **724** and **728** without generating a defect flag. In any event, after defect information regarding a next byte is received (step **728**), the system returns to step **708**.

From the above description, it can be appreciated that the embodiment of the invention described in connection with **Fig. 7** considers a selected window of bytes. Bytes are passed into and out of the window on a first in, first out basis. Accordingly, the window can be considered a dynamic window in that it moves one byte at a time along a track. In this way, defect densities are continually assessed.

With reference now to **Fig. 8**, an implementation of an embodiment of the present invention is illustrated in block diagram form. A count increment n is provided by a memory or register block **800** to summing block **804**. In general, the summing block **804** performs the function  $i = i + n$ . The value i output from the summing block **804** is

provided to a down counter **808**. The down counter **808** also receives a decay value  $s_n$  from decay circuit **812**. The decay circuit **812** generally includes a register **816** that stores a value  $s$ , a number of registers **820**, **824** and **828** for storing values  $d_2$ ,  $d_1$  and  $d_0$  respectively, generally referred to as the value  $d_n$ , and function block **832**. In general, the function block **832** receives a rate of decay  $s$  from register **816**, and selectively divides the value  $s$  by the value  $d_n$  held by the registers **820**, **824** or **828** ( $s_n = s \div d_n$ ). Alternatively, the value  $s$  is divided by one. The value by which  $s$  is divided is determined by, for example, the count value  $i$  held by the down counter **808**.

The down counter **808** receives the value  $s_n$  from the function block **832** of the decay circuit **812**, and decrements  $i$  by  $s_n$  ( $i = i - s_n$ ). The new value for  $i$  is output to a comparator **836**, which receives at a second output a threshold value held by register **840**. If the value  $i$  is greater than or equal to the threshold value, the comparator **836** generates a flag **844**. The output of the down counter **808** is also provided to a decision block **848**. The decision block **848** determines whether a byte under consideration contains a defect. If no defect is detected with respect to the byte, the count value  $i$  is decremented in the down counter **808** by the value  $s_n$ . If a defect is detected, the count value  $i$  is incremented by the value  $n$  at the summing block **804**, and the new value for  $i$  is provided to the down counter **808**.

The operation of the embodiment illustrated in **Fig. 8** is generally timed to the output of a byte clock **852**. The byte clock **852** outputs a signal timed to corresponding to the receipt of information concerning a next byte of storage space. Accordingly, a step of determining whether a byte contains a defect, and a step of decrementing the count value

i, is performed for each byte of storage space on a track 136.

The operation of yet another embodiment of the present invention is generally shown in Fig. 9. In particular, Fig. 9 illustrates the operation of an embodiment implemented as illustrated in Fig. 8. Initially, at step 900, the count value i is set equal to zero. At step 904, a determination is made as to whether a defect in the byte under consideration is detected. If a defect is detected, the count value is incremented by n ( $i = i + n$ ) (step 908). The count value i is then decremented by  $s_n$  ( $i = i - s_n$ ) (step 912).

The count value i is then compared to the threshold at step 916. If i is greater than or equal to the threshold, a defect flag is generated at step 920, and the system returns to step 904 to determine whether a next byte contains a defect. If i is not found to be greater than or equal to the threshold, the system returns directly to step 904.

From the above description, it can be appreciated that a determination is made as to whether a byte contains a defect, and whether a defect flag should be generated for each byte of storage space in a track 136.

In general, the present invention may be implemented in software or firmware associated with the disk drive 100. For example, the present invention may be implemented in firmware running on the controller 140. Alternatively, the present invention may be implemented on a host computer interconnected to the disk drive 100. The present invention may also be implemented using hardware included as part of the disk drive 100, or in a circuit that may be interconnected to the disk drive 100. Furthermore, although the above description has used magnetic drives as an example, the present invention is not so limited. For instance, the present invention may be applied in

connection with optical, tape, or three dimensional storage devices.

The foregoing discussion of the invention has been presented for purposes of illustration and description. Further, the description is not intended to limit the invention to the form disclosed herein. Consequently, variations and modifications commensurate with the above teachings, within the skill and knowledge of the relevant art, are within the scope of the present invention. The embodiments described hereinabove are further intended to explain the best mode presently known of practicing the invention and to enable others skilled in the art to utilize the invention in such or in other embodiments and with various modifications required by their particular application or use of the invention. It is intended that the appended claims be construed to include the alternative embodiments to the extent permitted by the prior art.